

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET	MARKED FOR INDEXING
TO	Chief, Munich Liaison Base		Y NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO.	Chief, Bonn Operations Base Chief, GSB, Frankfurt Att: Chief, SE; Chief, WE; Chief of Station, Germany		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	Chief, e		MICROFILM
SUBJECT: Operational/CATIDE/NIZTAG/U.F.RANDOM			
CATIDE "PEPPER" Case or "Aktion GEIGE"			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>Reference: EGMA-66043, 11 February 1965 (not sent GSB, Frankfurt)</p> <p>1. We very much appreciate the information in reference and the efforts of Munich Liaison Base to help us solve this mysterious CATIDE operation.</p> <p>2. Since, hopefully the monitoring operation planned for 20 March will give us some of the badly needed answers to our questions, we do not intend here to engage in any guessing or theorizing. We have, however, discussed the case on a number of occasions with C 3 and C 11 and it seems that the following conclusions can safely be drawn:</p> <p>A. The person who meets Josef LENSKY in Copenhagen and whose CATIDE/ C 3 C 11 cryptonym is "GEIGE" is not a CATIDE staffer but a double agent. He has variously been described as an East German and as a Czech by the CATIDE staffers visiting Copenhagen and he could, therefore be a Sudeten German. He has been run by CATIDE for a long time, but not til quite recently has much attention been devoted to the case. He apparently was of little interest until CATIDE Headquarters came to suspect that he was being used by the CIS to maintain contact with the CATIDE staffer who is a suspected CIS penetration of CATIDE.</p> <p>B. GEIGE is a liar and a fabricator. In his reports to his CATIDE case officer he has stated that he spends all his time in Copenhagen either with his CIS case officer or at his hotel room. In reality, he spends most of his time with a Danish woman where he was assigned a room last summer. The two of them apparently go swimming together, take walks together, and go out on the town together. but GEIGE has yet to mention her to his CATIDE case officer. C 3 has investigated her carefully (we do not know her identity) and have determined that there is no derogatory information on her. They, therefore, pay no attention to her anymore and CATIDE does not object to the fact that the C 3 surveillance of GEIGE is limited to the few hours when he is actually together with LENSKY and until he crawls back into his girlfriend's bed.</p> <p>C. There is general agreement that GEIGE's actual CATIDE case officer (who is also the suspected CIS penetration of CATIDE) does not come to Copenhagen, does not know about the monitoring of the GEIGE/LENSKY</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Cont'd)</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
GROUP 1	C 3 12914	10 March 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET CS CUP	32W-5-38/1	

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE/METHOD/EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER []-12914
- 2 -		
<p>meetings and merely briefs GEIGER before his meetings with LENSKY and debriefs him after his meetings. These briefing and debriefing sessions apparently take place in Germany where it must be assumed that GEIGER lives.</p> <p>D. GEIGER has been informed by the CIS that the meeting after the 20 March Copenhagen meeting will take place in Leipzig where GEIGER will be introduced to a senior CIS officer (or is it possibly an MIS officer?). Petersen of CATIEP takes this as an indication that GEIGER is becoming increasingly important to the CIS but it is of course also possible that GEIGER is merely deceiving his CATIEP officer.</p> <p>E. GEIGER has not met any of the CATIEP or officers who monitor the case and who visit Copenhagen. Nor does he know that such a monitoring takes place, if he suspected it he certainly would not so blatantly withhold the information about his Copenhagen girlfriend.</p> <p>3. We realize that some of the above-mentioned information contradicts what we have previously reported about the case. This, we believe, is due to the fact that [] and [] are as confused about the case as we are and have just as much trouble sorting out who is who. We believe, however, that the points reported above are correct or at least to the best of [] and []'s knowledge. We have, incidentally, never had a chance to discuss it with who was originally briefed by CATIEP's Petersen on the case and who put Petersen in touch with [] later on. [] has been absent on a long leave and we simply have not had a suitable occasion to discuss it with him since his return from leave. We hope to elicit some additional information from him either before 20 March or immediately after and this information combined with what we learn during the monitoring of the meeting should give us the answers to most of our questions. In discussing the GEIGER operation with [] and [] as frequently as we have in the past, we considered it wisest to give them a cover reason for our inquisitiveness and we, therefore, told them that we were personally curious about the case because it appeared to be an extension of a case with which we were connected many years ago. We have stressed that our curiosity was purely personal and unknown to either our German Station or anyone else. We, therefore, feel certain that neither [] nor [] will mention our inquisitiveness to CATIEP, since they suspect that it is entirely unofficial and probably not even the subject of operational reporting on our part.</p> <p>4. We have reserved a small suite immediately above the restaurant in the inn where the 20 March GEIGER/LENSKY meeting will take place and we hope that the [] rep will be able to tape the entire meeting. We also plan to cover the meeting in the restaurant itself through the wives of [] and [], who have been instructed in the use of the Identikit. We hope that they will be able to get a table near GEIGER and LENSKY so that they can pick up some of the conversation.</p> <p>5. The 20 March meeting will reportedly be the last one in Denmark and we probably will be unable to pick up additional information about the case after that date since [] as well as [] will be out of it.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">[]</p> <p>2 - Munich 2 - ER 2 - WE 2 - COS, Germany 2 - Bonn Operations Base 2 - CSB, Frankfurt</p> <p>Addendum: After this dispatch had been typed, [] advised us that the CATIEP officer in charge of planning the surveillance of the GEIGER meetings had used the name KRUMBACH. We assume that he is identical with Identity-5 of WMA-65781, 31 December 1964. [] was unable to give us any more details about the identities of the CATIEP officers involved without mentioning the GEIGER file from the responsible FORNSPROG officer, which he was unwilling to do since he considered our interest</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SECRET</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CONTINUED</p>		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY